Paul Mankin, IV (SBN 264038) 1 LAW OFFICES OF L. PAUL MANKIN, IV, P.C. 2 8730 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 310 Beverly Hills, CA 90211 3 Phone: 877-206-4741 4 Fax: 866-633-0228 pmankin@apaulmankin.com 5 6 Attorney for Plaintiff Nikita Brown 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 NIKITA BROWN, on behalf of 11 herself and all others similarly **CLASS ACTION** 12 situated, 13 Plaintiff, 14 VS. 15 ET SEQ. 16 WINDHAM PROFESSIONALS, INC., a Massachusetts corporation, 17 and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, 18 Defendants. 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28



CaG V-13-7253 MM

COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATION OF THE ELECTRONIC FUNDS **TRANSFER ACT [15 U.S.C. § 1693**

Jury Trial Demanded As To Claims
That Are So Triable



Plaintiff Nikita Brown ("Plaintiff"), individually and on behalf of all other members of the public similarly situated, based upon facts which either have evidentiary support, or are likely to have evidentiary support after a reasonable opportunity for further investigation and discovery, alleges as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. Plaintiff brings this action for herself and others similarly situated seeking damages and any other available legal or equitable remedies resulting from the illegal actions of Windham Professionals, Inc. ("Defendant"), in debiting funds from Plaintiff and Class Member's bank accounts on a recurring basis without a written authorization signed or similarly authenticated for preauthorized electronic fund transfers in violation of the Electronic Funds Transfer Act, 15. U.S.C. § 1693 et seq. ("EFTA").

JURISDICTION & VENUE

- 2. Jurisdiction is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2) because Plaintiff, a resident of California, seeks relief on behalf of a Class, which will result in at least one class member belonging to a different state than that of Defendant, a company with its principal place of business in New Hampshire and State of Incorporation in Massachusetts state. Plaintiff also seeks up to \$1,000.00 in damages per Class Member for violation of the EFTA, which, when aggregated among a proposed class in the thousands, exceeds the \$5,000,000.00 threshold for federal court jurisdiction. Therefore, both diversity jurisdiction and the damages threshold under the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005 ("CAFA") are present, and this Court has jurisdiction.
- 3. Venue lies within this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 1391(b)-(c) because Defendant transacts business in this judicial district and certain acts giving rise to the claims asserted in this Complaint occurred within the District. Venue is proper in the Central District of California pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 1391 because this District is a District in which a substantial part

of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred.

PARTIES

- 4. Plaintiff, Nikita Brown ("Plaintiff"), is a natural person residing in Long Beach, California in Los Angeles County.
- 5. Defendant Windham Professionals, Inc. ("Defendant"), was and is, upon information and belief, a Massachusetts corporation with its principal place of business in Salem, New Hampshire, and was, at all times relevant to this complaint, engaged in commercial transactions throughout this county, the State of California and the various states of the United States of America.
- 6. The above named Defendant, and its subsidiaries and agents, are collectively referred to as "Defendants." The true names and capacities of the Defendants sued herein as DOE DEFENDANTS 1 through 10, inclusive, are currently unknown to Plaintiff, who therefore sues such Defendants by fictitious names. Each of the Defendants designated herein as a DOE is legally responsible for the unlawful acts alleged herein. Plaintiff will seek leave of Court to amend the Complaint to reflect the true names and capacities of the DOE Defendants when such identities become known.
- 7. Plaintiff is informed and believes that at all relevant times, each and every Defendant was acting as an agent and/or employee of each of the other Defendants and was acting within the course and scope of said agency and/or employment with the full knowledge and consent of each of the other Defendants. Plaintiff is informed and believes that each of the acts and/or omissions complained of herein was made known to, and ratified by, each of the other Defendants.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 8. On or about August of 2012, Defendant began contacting Plaintiff in attempt to collect a consumer debt from Plaintiff.
 - 9. The alleged debt arose from transactions for education financial aid,

- 10. In or around August of 2012, Plaintiff received collection letters from Defendant in connection with the Account.
- 11. Soon after receiving the letters, Plaintiff telephoned Defendant and orally agreed with Defendant's representative to allow \$150 to be drawn from her account every two weeks in effort to resolve the alleged debt.
- 12. Defendant did not provide to Plaintiff, nor did Plaintiff execute, any written or electronic writing memorializing or authorizing the recurring or automatic payments.
- 13. Plaintiff did not provide either a written or electronic signature authorizing the recurring or automatic payments.
- 14. In or around December of 2012, Plaintiff telephoned Defendant, spoke with a representative and requested that her upcoming payments be postponed until the end of the month, at which time Plaintiff would have sufficient funds to satisfy the payment.
- 15. On January 10, 2013, Plaintiff checked her account information and noticed that Defendant had attempted to draw unauthorized payments from Plaintiff's account on December 13th and 20th despite Plaintiff having insufficient funds at the time, and actually did draw \$150 from her account on December 27, 2012, after previously requesting the payments be postponed.
- 16. On or about January 10, 2013, Plaintiff telephoned and informed Defendant's representative that she would allow one payment for \$80 to be drawn from her account by Defendant on that day and requested that any future payments be postponed until another arrangement could be agreed to.
- 17. Again, Defendant did not provide to Plaintiff, nor did Plaintiff execute, any written or electronic writing memorializing or authorizing the recurring or automatic payments.
 - 18. Again, Plaintiff did not provide either a written or electronic

signature authorizing the recurring or automatic payments

- 19. On January 31, 2013, Plaintiff checked her account information and noticed that Defendant had attempted to and did draw an unauthorized payment of \$150 from her account on that day, despite Plaintiff specifically requesting previously that any and all future payments be stopped.
- 20. Because of Defendant's unauthorized acts, Plaintiff was late on paying her rent and other utilities, was placed in jeopardy of incurring penalties and fees, was forced cancel her account, and risked adverse entries to her credit report and financial history.

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

- 21. Plaintiff brings this lawsuit as a class action on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated as members of the proposed class (hereinafter "The Class") pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 23(a) and (b)(1), (b)(2) and/or (b)(3). This action satisfies the numerosity, commonality, typicality, adequacy, predominance, and superiority requirements of those provisions:
 - 22. Plaintiff's proposed class consists of and is defined as:

All persons within the United States whose accounts were debited by Defendant without Defendant obtaining written authorization signed or similarly authenticated for preauthorized electronic fund transfers within the one year prior to filing of this Complaint, .

23. Members of the Class, as described above, will be referred to as "Class Members." Excluded from the Class are: (1) Defendant, any entity or division in which Defendant has a controlling interest, and their legal representatives, officers, directors, assigns, and successors; and (2) the Judge to whom this case is assigned and the Judge's staff. Plaintiff reserves the right to

amend the above Class and to add additional subclasses as appropriate based on investigation, discovery, and the specific theories of liability.

- 24. <u>Numerosity</u>: The Class Members are so numerous that joinder of all members would be unfeasible and impractical. The membership of the entire Class is unknown to Plaintiff at this time; however, the class is estimated to be greater than one hundred (100) individuals and the identity of such membership is readily ascertainable by inspection of Defendant's records. Consequently, it is reasonable to presume that the members of the Class are so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable. The disposition of their claims in a class action will provide substantial benefits to the parties and the Court.
- 25. <u>Commonality</u>: There are common questions of law and fact as to Class Members that predominate over questions affecting only individual members, including, but not limited to:
 - a. Whether Plaintiff and Class Members entered into oral agreements with Defendant to have automatic, or recurring, electronic payments drawn from their accounts to be paid to Defendant towards settlement of the Class Members' outstanding accounts with Defendant;
 - Whether Plaintiff and the Class Members were provided with, or executed, written agreements memorializing the automatic or recurring electronic payments;
 - c. Whether Defendant requested or provide Plaintiff and Class Members with written agreements memorializing the automatic or recurring electronic payments;
 - d. Whether Plaintiff and Class Members provided either a written ("Wet") or electronic signature authorizing the automatic or recurring electronic payments from their accounts;

///

- e. Whether Defendant took unauthorized payment(s) from Plaintiff and Class Members; and
- f. Whether Defendant should be enjoined from engaging in such conduct in the future.
- 26. Typicality: Plaintiff is qualified to, and will, fairly and adequately protect the interests of each Class Member with whom she is similarly situated, and Plaintiff's claims (or defenses, if any) are typical of all Class Members' as demonstrated herein.
- 27. Adequacy: Plaintiff is qualified to, and will, fairly and adequately protect the interests of each Class Member with whom she is similarly situated, as demonstrated herein. Plaintiff acknowledges that she has an obligation to make known to the Court any relationship, conflicts, or differences with any Class Member. Plaintiff's attorneys, the proposed class counsel, are versed in the rules governing class action discovery, certification, and settlement. Plaintiff has incurred, and throughout the duration of this action, will continue to incur costs and attorneys' fees that have been, are and will be necessarily expended for the prosecution of this action for the substantial benefit of each Class Member.
- 28. <u>Predominance</u>: Questions of law or fact common to the Class Members predominate over any questions affecting only individual members of the Class. The elements of the legal claims brought by Plaintiff and the Class Members are capable of proof at trial through evidence that is common to the Class rather than individual to its members.
- 29. <u>Superiority</u>: Plaintiff and the Class Members have all suffered and will continue to suffer harm and damages as a result of Defendant's unlawful and wrongful conduct. A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy. Absent a class action, most Class Members would likely find the cost of litigating their claims prohibitively high and would therefore have no effective remedy at law. Because of the

relatively small size of the individual Class Members' claims, it is likely that only
a few Class Members could afford to seek legal redress for Defendant's
misconduct. Absent a class action, Class Members will continue to incur harm
and damages and Defendant's misconduct will continue without remedy. Class
treatment of common questions of law and fact would also be a superior method to
multiple individual actions or piecemeal litigation in that class treatment will
conserve the resources of the courts and the litigants and will promote consistency
and efficiency of adjudication.

30. The Class may also be certified because:

- a. the prosecution of separate actions by individual Class Members would create a risk of inconsistent or varying adjudication with respect to individual Class Members, which would establish incompatible standards of conduct for Defendant;
- b. the prosecution of separate actions by individual Class Members would create a risk of adjudications with respect to them that would, as a practical matter, be dispositive of the interests of other Class Members not parties to the adjudications, or substantially impair or impede their ability to protect their interests; and
- c. Defendant has acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the Class, thereby making appropriate final and injunctive relief with respect to the members of the Class as a whole.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

Violation of the Electronic Funds Transfer Act 15 U.S.C. § 1693 et seq.

- 31. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates by reference into this cause of action the allegations set forth above.
- 32. Defendant failed to comply with the writing and notice requirements of § 907(a) of the EFTA, 15 U.S.C. § 1693e(a) as to Plaintiff and Class members with respect to the above alleged transactions.
- 33. Section 907(a) of the EFTA, 15 U.S.C. §1693e(a), provides that a "preauthorized electronic fund transfer from a consumer's account may be authorized by the consumer only in writing, and a copy of such authorization shall be provided to the consumer when made."
- 34. Section 903(9) of the EFTA, 15 U.S.C. § 1693a(9), provides that the term "preauthorized electronic fund transfer" means "an electronic fund transfer authorized in advance to recur at substantially regular intervals."
- 35. Section 205.l0(b) of Regulation E, 12 C.F.R. § 205.l0(b), provides that "[p]reauthorized electronic fund transfers from a consumer's account may be authorized only by a writing signed or similarly authenticated by the consumer. The person that obtains the authorization shall provide a copy to the consumer."
- 36. Section 205.10(b) of the Federal Reserve Board's Official Staff Commentary to Regulation E, 12 C.F.R. § 205.10(b), Supp. I, provides that "[t]he authorization process should evidence the consumer's identity and assent to the authorization." Id. at ¶10(b), comment 5. The Official Staff Commentary further provides that "[a]n authorization is valid if it is readily identifiable as such and the terms of the preauthorized transfer are clear and readily understandable." Id. at ¶10(b), comment 6.
- 37. In multiple instances, Defendant debited accounts of Plaintiff and Class Members on a recurring basis without obtaining a written authorization

signed or similarly authenticated by the Plaintiff or respective Class Members for preauthorized electronic fund transfers from the accounts of Plaintiff or respective Class Members, thereby violating § 907(a) of the EFTA, 15 U.S.C. § 1693e(a), and Section 205.10(b) of Regulation E, 12 C.F.R. § 205.10(b).

38. In multiple instances, Defendant debited Plaintiff's and Class Members' accounts on a recurring basis without providing a copy of a written authorization signed or similarly authenticated by the respective Class members for preauthorized electronic funds transfers, thereby violating Section 907(a) of the EFTA, 15 U.S.C. § 1693e(a), and Section 205.10(b) of Regulation E, 12 C.F.R. § 205.10(b).

REQUEST FOR JURY TRIAL

39. Plaintiff requests a trial by jury of all issues which may be tried by a jury pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and Class Members, requests that the Court enter judgment against Defendant, as follows:

- An order certifying the proposed Class, designating Plaintiff as named representative of the Class, and designating the undersigned as Class Counsel;
- b. A Declaration that Defendant's practices violate the EFTA;
- c. An award of statutory, compensatory, special, general, and punitive damages according to proof against all Defendants;
- d. An award of appropriate equitable relief, including but not limited to an injunction forbidding Defendant from engaging in further unlawful conduct in violation of the EFTA;
- e. An award of pre-judgment and post-judgment interest, as provided by law;
- f. Leave to amend the Complaint to conform to the evidence

1	produced at trial;							
2	g. An award of attorneys' fees and costs, as allowed by law; and							
3	Such other relief as may be appropriate under the circumstances.							
4								
5	Dated: September 19, 2013 Respectfully submitted, Law Office of L. Paul Mankin, IV, P.C.							
6								
7								
8	By:							
9	Paul Mankin, IV							
10	Attorney for Plaintiff Nikita Brown							
11								
12								
13								
14								
15								
16								
17								
18								
20								
21								
22								
23								
24								
25								
6								
7								
8								
	Page 10							

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Central District of California

NIKITA BROWN, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated,	CV13-7253 MAN
Plaintiff(s))
v.	Civil Action No.
WINDHAM PROFESSIONALS, INC., a Massachusetts corporation, and DOES 1 through 10,))
inclusive,)
Defendant(s))

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address) WINDHAM PROFESSIONALS, INC., a Massachusetts corporation 600 WEST CUMMINGS PARK WOBURN, MA 01801 USA

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Law Offices of Paul Mankin, IV

Law Offices of Paul Mankin, IV 8730 Wilshire Blvd, #310 Beverly Hills, CA 90211

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

OCT 1 2013

Date:

JULIE PRADO

Signature of Clerk or Depu

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (1))

			and the same and artificial for the same and				
ceived by me on (date)	•						
☐ I personally served to	the summons on the individual at	(place)					
		on (date)					
☐ I left the summons a	at the individual's residence or us	sual place of abode with (name)					
		of suitable age and discretion who re-					
on (date)	, and mailed a copy to the	ne individual's last known address; or					
☐ I served the summon	ns on (name of individual)		, who i				
designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of (name of organization)							
		on (dase)	; or				
☐ I returned the summ	ons unexecuted because		; 0				
Other (specify):							
My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00				
I declare under penalty	of perjury that this information i	s true.					
			anna disenggangsi a see a angalogasia ya shi				
		Server's signature					
		Printed name and title					
		Printed name and title					

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS (Che	ack how if you are reny	esenting yourself	DEFENDANTS	(Check how if you are re	enresenting yourself [7]			
NIKITA BROWN, on behalf o				WINDHAM PROFESSIONALS, INC., a Massachusetts corporation, and DOES 1 through				
(b) Attorneys (Firm Name are representing yourself Paul Mankin, IV Law Offices of Paul Mankin, 8730 Wilshire Blvd, #310, Be T: 800-219-3577; F: 877-206	, provide same inform IV IVerly Hills, CA 90211	one Number. If you ation.)		(b) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address and Telephone Number. If you are representing yourself, provide same information.)				
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an X in one box only.) III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES-For Diversity Cases Only								
1. U.S. Government Plaintiff	3. Federal Q Governmen	uestion (U.S. t Not a Party)		of Business in this State				
2. U.S. Government Defendant	4. Diversity (of Parties in	Indicate Citizenship Item III)	Citizen or Subject of a	2 2 Incorporated a of Business in A 3 5 Foreign Nation				
IV. ORIGIN (Place an X	•				. Multi-			
V	Removed from State Court	3. Remanded from Appellate Court		ransferred from Another	District itigation			
V. REQUESTED IN COM	MPLAINT: JURY DE	MAND: 🔀 Yes 🗌	No (Check "Yes" o	only if demanded in com	plaint.)			
CLASS ACTION under	F.R.Cv.P. 23:	Yes 🔼 No	MONEY DEMA	ANDED IN COMPLAINT:	\$			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION 15 U.S.C. 1693- Violation of t			ing and write a brief stateme	nt of cause. Do not cite Jurisdi	ctional statutes unless diversity.)			
VII. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an X in one bo	ox only).						
OTHER STATUTES	CONTRACT	REAL PROPERTY CONT	. IMMIGRATION	PRISONER PETITIONS	PROPERTY RIGHTS			
375 False Claims Act 400 State Reapportionment	120 Marine	240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real	462 Naturalization Application 465 Other	Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence	820 Copyrights 830 Patent 840 Trademark			
410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking	130 Miller Act	Property	immigration Actions TORTS	530 General	SOCIAL SECURITY			
450 Commerce/ICC	Instrument	TORTS PERSONAL PROPERTY	PERSONAL PROPERTY	535 Death Penalty Other:	861 HIA (1395ff)			
Rates/Etc. 460 Deportation	Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment	310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability	370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending		862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405 (g))			
470 Racketeer Influenced & Corrupt Org.	☐ 151 Medicare Act	320 Assault, Libel & Slander	380 Other Personal Property Damage	555 Prison Condition	864 SSID Title XVI 865 RSI (405 (g))			
480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV	152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loan (Excl. Vet.)	- 330 Fed Employers	385 Property Damage Product Liability	560 Civil Detainee Conditions of Confinement	FEDERAL TAX SUITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or			
850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange	153 Recovery of	340 Marine 345 Marine Product	422 Appeal 28	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	Defendant)			
890 Other Statutory Actions	Overpayment of Vet. Benefits 160 Stockholders'	Liability 350 Motor Vehicle	423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 690 Other	☐ 871 IRS-Third Party 26 USC 7609			
891 Agricultural Acts	Suits	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	CIVIL RIGHTS	14202				
Matters 893 Environmental	190 Other Contract	360 Other Personal Injury	440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting	710 Fair Labor Standards				
Act 895 Freedom of Info.	195 Contract Product Liability	362 Personal Injury Med Malpratice		Act 720 Labor/Mgmt.				
896 Arbitration	196 Franchise	365 Personal Injury-	443 Mauriani	Relations				
899 Admin. Procedures Act/Review of Appeal of Agency Decision	REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation	367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury	445 American with Disabilities- Employment	740 Railway Labor Act 751 Family and Medical Leave Act 790 Other Labor				
☐ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	220 Fareclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability	Disabilities-Other 448 Education	Litigation 791 Employee Ret. Inc. Security Act				
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: Case Number: CV13-7253								
CV-71 (09/13)		CIV	VIL COVER SHEET		Page 1 of 3			

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

VIII. VENUE: Your answers to the questions below will determine the division of the Court to which this case will most likely be initially assigned. This initial assignment is subject to change, in accordance with the Court's General Orders, upon review by the Court of your Complaint or Notice of Removal.

Question A: Was this case remove	d from	7	STATE CASE WAS P	ENDING IN	THE CO	UNTY OF:	INT	TIAL DIVISION IN C	ACD IS:	
state court?		STATE CASE WAS PENDING IN THE COUNTY OF:					Western			
Yes 🗷 No			Los Angeles							
If "no, " go to Question B. If "yes," che		□ v	/entura, Santa Barbara, or Sar	Luis Obiss	00		Western			
corresponding division in response to			Orange					Southern		
Question D, below, and skip to Section IX.			tiverside or San Bemardino				Eastern			
Question B: Is the United States, o	r one of	1								
its agencies or employees, a party t			if the United States, or one of its agencies or employees, is a party, is i				INITIAL			
action?		A PLAINTIFF? Then check the box below for the county in which the majority of DEFENDANTS reside.					DIVISION IN			
Yes 🗷 No								CACD IS:		
If "no, " go to Question C. If "yes," che		□ L	os Angeles		☐ Lo	s Angeles		Western		
box to the right that applies, enter the corresponding division in response to		16 2	'entura, Santa Barbara, or San Obispo	Luis	Ventura, Santa Barbara, or San Luis Obispo		Luis	West	ern	
Question D, below, and skip to Section	n IX,		Prange			ange		South	ern	
		☐ R	iverside or San Bernardino		Riv	verside or San Bernardino		Easte	ern	
			ther		☐ Ot	her		West	ern	
	1	1								
Question C: Location of plaintiffs, defendants, and claims?	Los Ar Cou	ngeles	B. Ventura, Santa Barbara, or San Luis Obispo Counties	C. Orange C	County	D. Riverside or San Bernardino Counties		E. de the Central ct of Callfornia	F, Other	
Indicate the location in which a majority of plaintiffs reside:	×	₹]					
Indicate the location in which a majority of defendants reside:	Г]]				×	
Indicate the location in which a majority of claims arose:	[×	3]					
majority of classiffs arose.			1,							
C.1. Is either of the following true? If so, check the one that applies: 2 or more answers in Column C only 1 answer in Column C and no answers in Column D Your case will initially be assigned to the SOUTHERN DIVISION. Enter "Southern" in response to Question D, below. If none applies, answer question C2 to the right.				C.2. Is a] 2 or n	f the following true? If so, nore answers in Column D I answer in Column D and r Your case will initially EASTERN D Enter "Eastern" in response	be assigned WISION. e to Questio	in Column C d to the on D, below.		
			Your case will is WES Enter "Western" in r	TERN DIVIS	ION.					
Question D: Initial Division?						INITIAL DIVIS	ION IN CAC	D		
Enter the initial division determined by Question A, B, or C above:				WES	TER	N				
CV. 21 (00/12)			CIVII	COVERSE	IFFT			Dag	m 7 of 3	

CV-71 (09/13) CIVIL COVER SHEET Page 2 of

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

IX(a), IDENTICAL CAS	SES: Has this ac	tion been previously filed in this court and dismissed, remanded or closed?	X NO	☐ YES			
If yes, list case num	ber(s):						
IX(b). RELATED CASE	S: Have any cas	es been previously filed in this court that are related to the present case?	⊠ NO	☐ YES			
If yes, list case num	ber(s):						
Civil cases are deemed	related if a previo	ously filed case and the present case:					
(Check all boxes that app	oly) 🔲 A. Arise	from the same or closely related transactions, happenings, or events; or					
	B. Call fo	or determination of the same or substantially related or similar questions of iaw and fact	;; or				
	C. For ot	ther reasons would entail substantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges; or	r				
	D. Involv	ve the same patent, trademark or copyright <u>and</u> one of the factors identified above in a	, b or c also is pres	sent.			
other papers as required by	The CV-71 (JS-44) law. This form, ap he Court for the pu	Civil Cover Sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement proved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required purpose of statistics, venue and initiating the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions	t the filing and ser	rvice of pleadings or Rule 3-1 is not filed			
Nature of Suit Code	Abbreviation	Substantive Statement of Cause of Action					
861	HIA	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as provident (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))	Security Act, as a of services under	mended. Also, r the program.			
862	BL All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923)						
863	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plu all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405 (g))						
863	DIWW	All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405 (g))					
864	All claims for supplemental security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security Act, amended.						
865	RSI	All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Se (42 U.S.C. 405 (g))	curity Act, as ame	ended.			

CV-71 (09/13)

CIVIL COVER SHEET Page 3 of 3